UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,740	05/11/2001	Kenneth Arneson	20-485	5000
	7590 05/17/201 NISON & SELTER PL	EXAMINER		
2000 M Street, N.W., 7th Floor			LASTRA, DANIEL	
Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3688	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/852,740	ARNESON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DANIEL LASTRA	3688			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Fe</u>	hruary 2010				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	/ _					
٥/ك	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	ciocoa in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 C.B. 11, 10	30 0.3. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,8 and 18-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,8 and 18-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/852,740 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 18-28 have been examined. Application 09/852,740 (System and method for providing wireless services) has a filing date 05/11/2001 and Claims Priority from Provisional Application 60203885 (05/12/2000).

Response to Amendment

2. In response to Non Final Rejection filed 11/17/09, the Applicant filed an Amendment on 02/17/10, which amended claims 1-2, 5-8, 18, 21, 27-28 and cancel claims 9-17.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5-9, 18-24 and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wecker (US 6,256,614) in view of Katz (US 6,424,706).

As per claims 1, and 18, Wecker teaches:

A method of rewarding an entity with wireless airtime units comprising:

creating credit in a service account associated with an entity, said credit stored in a service account server in response to active interaction with a given website of a

Art Unit: 3688

seller of goods or services offering phone time units to said entity phone time units from a service provider (col 2, lines 1-30; col 3, lines 1-30; col 13, lines 60-65);

Page 3

Wecker does not teach said phone time units are wireless airtime units and that said service account and service provider are a wireless service account and wireless service provider and deducting said credited wireless airtime units from said wireless service account in response to utilization of a wireless communication device associated with said credited wireless airtime units. However, Katz teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be redeemed for goods or services (see col 4, lines 57-67). Katz teaches interconnecting a plurality of member pre-paid telecommunication service providers, a plurality of member unit-minute systems associated with those pre-paid service providers and a plurality of member bank computer systems associated with financial networks in order to allow users to redeem telephone calling card minutes for goods or services (see col 16, lines 30-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Wecker would modify his invention to allow users to use earned telephone card minutes for wireless telephone connections and to use said earned telephone card minutes for making purchases for goods and/or services, as taught by Katz in order to provide a value added service to existing wireless telephone services and allow subscribers to wireless providers to earn wireless airtime minutes for visiting a merchant's website and use said earned minutes to purchase goods or services.

As per claim 2, Wecker does not teach:

deducting payment of goods *with said wireless airtime units*. However, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 2.

As per claim 5, Wecker does not teach:

deducting payment for a service *with said wireless airtime units*. However, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 5.

As per claim 6, Wecker does not teach:

deducting transfers said wireless airtime units from a buyer's account to a seller's account. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches a system that allows the transfer of wireless minutes from one user to another user (see column 4, lines 39-67). Therefore, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 6.

As per claim 7, Wecker does not teach:

said wireless airtime units are used in a metered wireless communications system. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be used in metered wireless communication (see col 4, lines 57-67). Therefore, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 7.

As per claim 8, Wecker does not teach:

said wireless airtime units are used in a post-paid wireless communications system. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be

used to make purchases at any merchant's POS terminal, to make cash withdrawals or to place order online (see col 8, lines 15-33). Therefore, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 8.

As per claim 19, Wecker teaches:

creating said service account for said user in response to said user having actively interacted with said given (see col 13, lines 60-65). Wecker does not teach that service account is a wireless service account. However, the same rejection made in claims 1, 9 and 18 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 19.

As per claim 20, Wecker does not expressly teach:

said wireless service account is a metered phone service account. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be used in metered wireless communication (see col 4, lines 57-67). Therefore, the same argument made in claim 1 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 20.

As per claim 21, Wecker teaches:

A method of paying for an offering, comprising:

creating credit in a service account associated with an entity, said credit stored in a service account server in response to active interaction with a given website of a seller of goods or services offering phone time units to said entity, (col 2, lines 1-30; col 3, lines 1-30; col 13, lines 60-65);

maintaining in said *service account* a count of said phone time units associated with said entity (see col 13, lines 60-65); and

Art Unit: 3688

Wecker does not teach that said phone time units are wireless airtime units and that said service account and service provider are a wireless service account and wireless service provider and deducting said credited wireless airtime units from said wireless service account in response to utilization of a wireless communication device associated with said credited wireless airtime units. However, Katz teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be redeemed for goods or services (see col 4, lines 57-67). Katz teaches interconnecting a plurality of member pre-paid telecommunication service providers, a plurality of member unit-minute systems associated with those pre-paid service providers and a plurality of member bank computer systems associated with financial networks in order to allow users to redeem telephone calling card minutes for goods or services (see col 16, lines 30-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Wecker would modify his invention to allow users to use earned telephone card minutes for wireless telephone connections and to use said earned telephone card minutes for making purchases for goods and/or services, as taught by Katz in order to provide a value added service to existing wireless telephone services and allow subscribers to wireless providers to earn wireless airtime minutes for visiting a merchant's website and use said earned minutes to purchase goods or services.

As per claim 22, Wecker does not teach:

Said goods or services are purchased in exchange for a predefined number of said wireless airtime units. However, the same argument made in claim 21 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 22.

As per claim 23, Wecker teaches:

accepting a predefined number of said phone time units in exchange for said entity having actively interacted with said given website (see column 7, lines 30-40). Wecker does not teach that said phone time units are wireless airtime units. However, the same rejection made in claim 21 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 23.

As per claim 24, Wecker teaches:

said phone time units are credited for performing a purchase on said given web site (see col 4, lines 45-50). Wecker does not teach that said phone time units are wireless airtime units. However, the same rejection made in claim 21 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 24.

As per claim 26, Wecker does not teach:

said wireless airtime units represent metered wireless services. However, <u>Katz</u> teaches a system that allows telephone calling card minutes stored in a minute account from a wireless service provider (see col 1, lines 10-20) to be used in metered wireless communication (see col 4, lines 57-67). Therefore, the same argument made in claim 21 with respect of the obviousness of combining <u>Wecker</u> and <u>Katz</u> is also made in claim 26.

As per claim 27, Wecker teaches:

crediting at least two phone time units to said service account in response to said entity having actively interacted with said given website (see col 7, lines 30-40). Wecker does not teach that said phone time units are wireless airtime units. However, the same rejection made in claim 21 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 27.

As per claim 28, Wecker teaches:

crediting one phone time units to said service account in response to said entity having actively interacted with said given web site (see col 7, lines 30-40). Wecker does not teach that said phone time units are wireless airtime units. However, the same rejection made in claim 21 regarding this missing limitation is also made in claim 28.

5. Claims 11 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wecker (US 6,256,614) in view of Katz (US 6,424,706) and further in view of Bistriceanu (US 7,240,022).

As per claim 11, Wecker does not teach:

wherein said actively interacted with said given web site comprises: returning to said web site. However, <u>Bistriceanu</u> teaches awarding incentives to users for returning a web site (see column 10, lines 15-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that <u>Wecker</u> and <u>Katz</u> would modify their systems to award users incentives for returning a website, as taught by <u>Bistriceanu</u> in order to provide a motivation for users to browse a certain site.

As per claim 25, Wecker does not teach:

Page 9

said wireless airtime units are credited for visiting said given web site. However, Bistriceanu teaches awarding incentives to users for merely visiting a web site (see col 5, lines 45-55). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Wecker and Katz would modify their systems to award users incentives for merely visiting a site, as taught by Bistriceanu in order to provide a motivation for users to browse a certain site.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 04/14/10 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that the prior arts do not teach that phone minutes are deducted in response to utilization of wireless communication device associated with credited wireless airtime units. The Examiner answers that Wecker teaches that the rewarded phone minutes are credited to an associated telephone company existing customer account (see col 3, lines 60-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the application was made, to know that Wecker would modify his invention to allow users to use earned telephone card minutes for wireless telephone connections and to use said earned telephone card minutes for making purchases for goods and/or services, as taught by Katz in order to provide a value added service to existing wireless telephone services and allow subscribers to wireless providers to earn wireless airtime minutes for visiting a merchant's website and use said earned minutes to purchase goods or services.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,740 Page 10

Art Unit: 3688

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL LASTRA whose telephone number is 571-272-6720 and fax 571-273-6720. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LYNDA C JASMIN can be reached on (571) 272-6782. The official Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/852,740 Page 11

Art Unit: 3688

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/DANIEL LASTRA/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 May 10, 2010